

## A16 - Sanitation in condominiums in the poor sections of town and in shantytowns

20 March 2012



### 1) Who has created, developed or recommended this technique ?

This concept was developed in the 1980s in Brazil in the State of Rio Grande do Norte by José Carlos Melo for low-income communities. It is now used for many urban networks, primarily in Latin America, but it was also adopted in 1985 for Orangi, the largest shantytown in Karachi (Pakistan), in the suburb of Rabat (Morocco) and in many other peri-urban areas of developing countries.

### 2) Why ?

Networks of the condominium type allow a city's waste water drainage network to be extended to underprivileged peri-urban areas, where housing units are often concentrated, disparate and rather rudimentary, at least cost thanks to simple implementation and to the participation of the population in carrying out the works.

They are based on community organisation of the housing on a scale of a group of housing units based on an appropriation and joint management of installations, the **condominium**.

### 3) Who is primarily concerned ?

**Inhabitants of the Oro Negro section of town of La Paz -El Alto in Bolivia where a condominium sanitation network was created (Photo Nomadeis)**

The zones concerned are above all outskirts of big cities where there is a concentration of housing units and where it is sought to combine the little space that is available and save on implementation.



**4) What is involved ?**

Sanitation in a condominium is a new way to design extending sanitation networks in order to allow for creation in poor sections of town that are far from public infrastructures. This is a semi-collective system in that a group of housing units share the costs of installing and maintaining it as a jointly-managed piece of property.

**5) What does this technique involve ? How is it used ?**

This technique is based for a large part on the technique for designing conventional sewage networks.

However, passing the collective conduits over private land makes it possible to reduce :

- the diameter of the pipes : the latter no longer has to correspond to the demanding standards usually required in an urban environment, but which are not suited for such situations since the roads do not have the same constraints at all, but it is still of course determined by resistance calculations
- their burial depth, as the latter is no longer built on a public area under the road.
- their length : this technique indeed makes it possible to have fewer main networks and special connections in the network. The branches of the secondary networks are also in general shorter, which in the end makes it possible to limit the quantity of materials to be used and the required manpower time.
- the number of manholes


In addition, the co-ownership system tends to make the users of the network accountable. Therefore, there is more respect for the latter and it is better maintained in the interest of all. It is also common for the populations to be involved by digging the trenches required to install the network, which further increases their involvement.

Collecting household water is generally done in islands, with each able to have a specific path taking into account the number and the type of housing units as well as autonomous treatment systems selected by the families or the communities.

The installations include :

- on the one hand, elements of a domestic nature : the sanitary equipment in the housing units (washbasins, sinks, toilettes), pipes connecting each one to a collection system for the housing unit, a manhole providing access to all of the pipework and for carrying out maintenance.
- on the other hand, exterior elements : a primary collector not buried deep in the street which collects the water from the various manholes of an island and a main collector receiving the waste water from several islands (most often from 50 to 200 housing units) and draining it to a treatment plant or system

In sum :



Source : Nomadeis « Aqua tu penses » [www.nomadeis.com](http://www.nomadeis.com)

## 6) Main advantages and drawbacks

### a) Advantages

Reduction in construction costs and saves space.

Involvement of users : rendering accountable for better use of the installations, increased awareness likely to result in a change in individual behaviour in terms of discharges and sanitation.

Development of community spirit.

### b) Disadvantages

Feasibility is not the same everywhere. There were for example a few failures for poorly identified reasons in South Africa although this system has practically become a standard in Brazil.

It is in any case necessary that the municipalities and the companies involved, as well as the population, have indeed accepted the concept of the system and the need to sanitise and that they have accepted the

required derogations and counterparties (modification in regulations, financial coverage for a portion of the cost of the installations, etc.)

## 7) Special difficulties and precautions to be taken

### a) Difficulties

There are still no clear factors identified that explain the difficulties encountered in South Africa for the development of condominium networks, but it is likely that the cost of the installations, which are of course reduced but still non negligible for underprivileged families, or that the cohesion, prior information or the insufficient participation of the communities have contributed to it.

### b) Precautions

The population has to be entirely associated with the development of the project, from creating it to maintaining it. It is essential to take into account many social-economic and cultural aspects of the population or of the community concerned and to schedule a major and adapted programme for awareness and sanitary education (illustrated documents, public sessions, posters, schools, street theatre, etc.). It is also important to properly define the respective responsibilities for maintenance between the community, the municipal technical departments and the operator and to ensure that the latter has indeed transferred to the community the technical skills needed for maintenance if the latter is entrusted to it. The quality of the water discharged has to be analysed on a regular basis and be sure to be able to create good sustainable and quality infrastructures despite tier low cost.



Alley in a shantytown under construction - Photo WEDC

## 8) Cost

The cost of creation of course depends on the context and on the country. We can however mention the example of El Alto (High peripheral section of La Paz in Bolivia) which gave rise to an in-depth economic study (Foster 2001).

The results of this assessment show that **the substantial savings in investment** for the sanitation network and the connections was, in light of the flexibility accepted in terms of technical standards and in terms of the participation of the inhabitants in carrying out the works **by about 50%**, of which :

- a savings of 24% on materials, pipes and other supplies
- savings of the same magnitude thanks to participation of the inhabitants in the works.

With regards to the cost of maintenance, this is reduced as maintenance for installations on private land is provided by the users who must by the way be trained for this and made aware during the works.

## 9) Maintenance

Maintenance is the same as for conventional sewage systems but is simplified. This is performed by the users for the portion that is on their land, using the training that they will have received, which is facilitated by the fact that it is in their own best interests to properly care for the installations.

## 10) Where to obtain further information - Bibliography

### a) Websites

- **PSEAU** (Water Solidarity Programme, 32 rue le Peletier 75 009 Paris) and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs : "Call for proposals for the Sustainable management of urban waste and sanitation" (Page 29)

[http://www.pseau.org/epa/gdda/BB\\_ca...](http://www.pseau.org/epa/gdda/BB_ca...)

- **NOMADEIS** (consulting company on urban department management), 4 rue Massenet 75 016 Paris. Contact : Nicolas Dutreix)

<http://www.nomadeis.com/>

### b) Bibliography

- Article from the **CERNA** (Centre for industrial economy of the Ecole des Mines de Paris) published in the Revue d'Economie

- Financial (Autumn 2006- Paris) : <http://www.cerna.ensmp.fr/Documents...>

- A Bakalian et al. Simplified Sewerage Design Guidelines , Water and Sanitation Report no. 7, UNDP / World Bank, Washington, 1994

- B. Dardenne Experience in non-conventional sanitation : the condominium system, Sinergix, Sao Paulo, 1993

- Emplacement : Accueil > en > Wikiwater > Technical sheet > Sanitation and preservation > Draining effluents >

- Adresse de cet article : <https://wikiwater.fr/a16-the-specific-sanitation>